Appeal: 07-1546 Doc: 39 Filed: 07/29/2008 Pg: 1 of 3

Filed: July 29, 2008

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 07-1546 (3:05-cv-03477-JRM)

THURMOND B. BOWERS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant - Appellee.

ORDER

The court amends its opinion filed June 20, 2008, as follows:

On the cover sheet, attorney information section -- the name
of Carolyn Cooper, Special Assistant United States Attorney, is
added as counsel for Appellee.

For the Court - By Direction

/s/ Patricia S. Connor Clerk Appeal: 07-1546 Doc: 39 Filed: 07/29/2008 Pg: 2 of 3

UNPUBLISHED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

No. 07-1546

THURMOND B. BOWERS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

versus

MICHAEL J. ASTRUE, Commissioner of Social Security,

Defendant - Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of South Carolina, at Columbia. Joseph R. McCrorey, Magistrate Judge. (3:05-cv-03477-JRM)

Submitted: February 12, 2008 Decided: June 20, 2008

Before MICHAEL, KING, and DUNCAN, Circuit Judges.

Affirmed by unpublished per curiam opinion.

Robertson H. Wendt, Jr., LAW OFFICES OF ROBERTSON WENDT, North Charleston, South Carolina, for Appellant. Deana R. Ertl-Lombardi, Regional Chief Counsel, Yvette G. Keesee, Deputy Regional Chief Counsel, Thomas S. Inman, Assistant Regional Counsel, Carolyn Cooper, Special Assistant United States Attorney, Denver, Colorado, for Appellee.

Unpublished opinions are not binding precedent in this circuit.

Appeal: 07-1546 Doc: 39 Filed: 07/29/2008 Pg: 3 of 3

PER CURIAM:

Thurmond B. Bowers appeals the magistrate judge's order upholding the denial of his application for disability insurance benefits.* We have reviewed the record and find no reversible error. Accordingly, we affirm for the reasons stated by the magistrate judge. See Bowers v. Astrue, No. 3:05-cv-03477-JRM (D.S.C. Mar. 7, 2007). We dispense with oral argument because the facts and legal contentions are adequately presented in the materials before the court and argument would not aid the decisional process.

<u>AFFIRMED</u>

^{*}The parties consented to referral to a magistrate judge for final disposition under 28 U.S.C. § 636(c) (2000).